


Uganda

Uganda is a low-income country and a least developed country (LDC) with a GNI of 600 USD per capita (2017) and a population of 43 million inhabitants (2017). In the 2018 Monitoring Round, Uganda reported on 1.2 billion USD in development co-operation flows. Major development partners in the 2018 Monitoring Round (by reported disbursements in 2017)



1. National development planning and results orientation

Overall quality of national results framework

High-quality, inclusive and results-oriented development strategies are critical for countries' ownership over their development and the implementation of the 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The quality of national development planning in Uganda is **high (87%**).




The national development strategy and results framework...

defines priori <mark>ties, targets</mark> and indicators	includes budget or costing information
A VES	\$ 🛛 YES
aligns to SDGs	Regular progress reports are available
YES	YES

Extent of use of country-owned results frameworks by development partners

Development partner alignment to country-led development priorities is at the heart of country ownership. Global Partnership monitoring assesses whether interventions: draw objectives from national development strategies; draw indicators from country results frameworks; use government data and statistics for monitoring; and involve government in evaluations. The first three elements provide the official data to report on SDG indicator 17.15.1. In Uganda, development partners align to country priorities to a **medium extent (56%** - SDG indicator 17.15.1).



2. Multi-stakeholder engagement

Recognising that successful development efforts require the inclusive and equitable participation of all actors, the 2030 Agenda calls for collective action by the whole of society. Global Partnership monitoring examines the engagement of civil society and the private sector from both the perspective of the government and representatives of these stakeholder groups.


Quality of public-private dialogue

In the 2018 Monitoring Round, government representatives and representatives of large firms, SMEs and trade unions in Uganda did not assess the quality of public-private dialogue.



Enabling environment for civil society organisations...

... was not assessed by government representatives, civil society organisations and development partners in the 2018 Monitoring Round in Uganda.



3. *Quality and use of public financial* management systems

Strengthening public financial management systems

Strong public financial management (PFM) systems are an essential element of good governance and vital to achieving development goals. Uganda experienced significant progress in the quality of its PFM systems.



Development partners' use of country systems

Development partners' use of these systems to deliver co-operation both lowers transaction costs but also helps to accelerate their strengthening. In Uganda, use of country PFM systems **decreased** from **69%** in 2016 to **58%** in 2018

Further, legislative oversight of the budget has decreased. The share of development co-operation recorded on budgets subject to parliamentary scrutiny decreased from 55% in 2016 to 49% in 2018.



Systems to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women's empowerment



Adequate and effective financing is essential to achieve gender equality and to empower all women and girls. Uganda has some elements of a system in place to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women's empowerment, approaching the requirements of SDG indicator 5.c.1.




4. Predictability and forward planning

High-quality and timely information on development co-operation helps governments in planning and managing resources for results and can guide development partners in coordinating their support with other providers, as to avoid fragmentation and duplication of efforts.

Annual predictability of development co-operation is low, with 74% of co-operation disbursed as scheduled. Medium-term predictability is low, with 25% of co-operation available in forward looking expenditure plans for the next three years.

Predictability of development co-operation



Systems to track development co-operation information in Uganda



5. Mutual accountability mechanisms

Mutual accountability underpins the efforts of development actors to meet joint commitments, improve how they work together and increase their development effectiveness. Mutual accountability mechanisms are made up of multiple, reinforcing components that can help enhance transparency and accountability at country level. Global Partnership monitoring defines and assesses mutual accountability against five components. Uganda has five out of five components in place.





Component 2 Country-level targets for government and development partners



Component 3

of progress



Component 4 Assessments are inclusive and involve non-state actors



Component 5 Timely, publicly available results of assessments

development co-operation In place

Not in place

Disclaimer: This document was prepared based on data collected from voluntary reporting to the 2018 Monitoring Round of the Global Partnership for Effective Development Co-operation. The information provided does not necessarily represents the views of OECD, UNDP or the Government of Uganda. For ease of reference, the term 'country' is used to refer to developing countries and territories that reported to the 2018 Monitoring Round Participation in this process and mention of any participant in this document is without prejudice to the status or international recognition of a given country or territory.

gular joint assessment